



The conformal invariance of the Poisson-Lie group $SU(2)$ by dressing transformation

M.W.Mansouri [†] and B. Ganbouri [‡]

*E.G.A.L, Dépt Maths. Fac. Scs,
Université Ibn Tofail , B.P 133. Kénitra-Maroc*

[†] *mansouriwadia@yahoo.fr*

[‡] *G_busslem@yahoo.fr*

abstract

We show the conformal invariance of the Poisson-Lie group $SU(2)$ by dressing transformations. This construction gives in particular a Poisson cohomology class of the group $SU(2)$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $SU(2)$, the special unitary group defined by :

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} / \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha\bar{\alpha} + \beta\bar{\beta} = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\alpha = x + iy$ and $\beta = z + it$, $SU(2)$ can be identified with the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^3 in \mathbb{R}^4 . The Lie algebra $su(2)$ of group $SU(2)$ is defined by:

$$su(2) = \{S \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} / {}^t \bar{S} + S = 0 \text{ and } Tr(S) = 0\}.$$

Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}; Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

a basis of $su(2)$. The Lie bracket on $su(2)$ is defined by:

$$[Z, X] = 2Y; [Z, Y] = -2X; [X, Y] = 2Z.$$

The fields left invariant associated to this basis had this local expression :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X} &= -y\partial_x + x\partial_y + t\partial_z - z\partial_t \\ \tilde{Y} &= -z\partial_x - t\partial_y + x\partial_z + y\partial_t \\ \tilde{Z} &= -t\partial_x + z\partial_y - y\partial_z + x\partial_t, \end{aligned}$$

⁰ © a GNPHE publication 2010, *ajmp@fsr.ac.ma*

and the left invariant 1-forms are written:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} &= -ydx + xdy + tdz - zdt \\ \tilde{\beta} &= -zdx - tdy + xdz + ydt \\ \tilde{\delta} &= -tdx + zdy - ydz + xdt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we recall the Lie-Poisson structure given on $SU(2)$ (BGS, O). Since $su(2)$ is simple, any Poisson structure on $SU(2)$ is given by a solution of Yang-Baxter equation, these solutions are of the form

$$Q = k.X \wedge Y, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}_+^*.$$

So any bialgebra structure of $su(2)$ is written

$$\begin{aligned} p(X) &= -2k.Z \wedge X, \\ p(Y) &= 2k.Y \wedge Z, \\ p(Z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the Poisson Lie structure on $SU(2)$ are given by

$$P(s) = R_{s*}Q - L_{s*}Q, \quad s \in SU(2),$$

where R_{s*} (resp: L_{s*}) is the differential of the right translation (resp: right), for s in neutral element e the group $SU(2)$.

Then using $\alpha = x + iy, \beta = z + it$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 = 1$ one gets :

$$P(x, y, z, t) = P_1\tilde{Y} \wedge \tilde{Z} + P_2\tilde{Z} \wedge \tilde{X} + P_3\tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= 2k(xz - yt) \\ P_2 &= -2k(xy + zt) \\ P_3 &= 2k(y^2 + z^2). \end{aligned}$$

Dressing transformation on $SU(2)$

In this section we recall the dressing transformation on the group $SU(2)$ and we explicit its fundamental fields.

As p is a Poisson tensor, the dual map of p gives a Lie algebra structure on $su^*(2)$. Recall that the action of $su^*(2)$ in $SU(2)$, namely dressing transformation, in the left invariant version, is a Lie algebra anti-homomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : su^*(2) &\longrightarrow \chi(SU(2)) \\ \xi &\longmapsto i_{\tilde{\xi}}P, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is the left-invariant 1-forme on $SU(2)$ whose value at the neutral element e is ξ and $i_{\tilde{\xi}}P$ denote the interior product of P by $\tilde{\xi}$.

The Poisson action within the meaning of Lu-Weinstein is expressed by the following commutative diagram $(*)^{LW}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} su^*(2) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \Gamma(T \ SU(2)) \\ \delta \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow [P, \cdot]_s \\ \Lambda^2 su^*(2) & \xrightarrow{\lambda \wedge \lambda} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 T \ SU(2)) \end{array}$$

where, δ is the transpose map of the Lie bracket on $su(2)$ and $[,]$ denote the Shcouten bracket.

The fundamental vector field of the dressing action, generate the characteristic distribution of P are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= i_{\tilde{\alpha}}P = P_3\tilde{Y} - P_2\tilde{Z}, \\ U_2 &= i_{\tilde{\beta}}P = -P_3\tilde{X} + P_1\tilde{Z}, \\ U_3 &= i_{\tilde{\gamma}}P = P_2\tilde{X} - P_1\tilde{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

II. THE MAIN RESULTS

An algebra 1-cocycle

Our main results are given in the following theorem :

Theorem 1. *There exists a linear mapping $\mu : su^*(2) \longrightarrow C^\infty(SU(2))$ such hat*

$$[P, \lambda(\xi)]_s = \mu(\xi) \cdot P, \quad \xi \in su^*(2).$$

Let (α, β, δ) a base algebra of $su^*(2)$, μ is given by :

$$\mu(a\alpha + b\beta + c\delta) = -2aP_1 - 2bP_2 - 2cP_3,$$

with a, b and c are reals.

Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\xi = a\alpha + b\beta + c\gamma$, an element of the algebra $su^*(2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [P, \lambda(\xi)] &= L_{\lambda(\xi)}P \\ &= aL_{\lambda(\alpha)}P + bL_{\lambda(\beta)}P + cL_{\lambda(\gamma)}P, \\ &= aL_{U_1} + bL_{U_2}P + cL_{U_3}P. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem follows from:

Lemma 1. *With the above notation, we have:*

$$L_{U_i}P = -2P_i \cdot P \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Proof. Using the commutative diagram (*) we get

$$L_{U_1}P = [P, \lambda(\alpha)] = \lambda \wedge \lambda(\delta(\alpha)),$$

As mentioned before, δ is the transpose of the Lie bracket of $su(2)$, then we have

$$\delta(\alpha) = -2\gamma \wedge \beta,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \wedge \lambda(\delta(\alpha)) &= \lambda \wedge \lambda(-2\gamma \wedge \beta) \\ &= -2\lambda(\gamma) \wedge \lambda(\beta) \\ &= -2U_3 \wedge U_2 \\ &= -2(P_2\tilde{X} - P_1\tilde{Y}) \wedge (-P_3\tilde{X} + P_1\tilde{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation gives us $\lambda \wedge \lambda(\delta(\alpha)) = -2P_1 \cdot P$, thus $L_{U_1}P = -2P_1 \cdot P$. Similarly we find $L_{U_2}P = -2P_2 \cdot P$ and $L_{U_3}P = -2P_3 \cdot P$. □

Now, seeing that the dressing transformation λ give a representation of $su^*(2)$ on $C^\infty(SU(2))$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} su^*(2) \times C^\infty(SU(2)) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(SU(2)) \\ (\xi, f) &\longmapsto \lambda(\xi)(f) := \xi \cdot f, \end{aligned}$$

which defines a $su^*(2)$ -module structure on $C^\infty(SU(2))$

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]_*$ denote the Lie bracket on $su^*(2)$ induced by d_eP^V . We have

Proposition 1. The map μ is a 1-cocycle of $su^*(2)$ with values in $su^*(2)$ -module, $C^\infty(SU(2))$. i.e.

$$\mu([\xi, \eta]_*) = \xi \cdot \mu(\eta) - \eta \cdot \mu(\xi), \quad \xi, \eta \in su^*(2).$$

Proof. For each $\xi, \eta \in su^*(2)$, we have

$$L_{\lambda([\xi, \eta]_*)}P = L_{\lambda(\xi)}PL_{\lambda(\eta)}P - L_{\lambda(\eta)}PL_{\lambda(\xi)}P,$$

this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda([\xi, \eta]_*)P &= L_{\lambda(\xi)}(\mu(\lambda)P) - L_{\lambda(\eta)}(\mu(\xi)P) \\ &= \lambda(\xi)\mu(\eta)P + \mu(\eta)L_{\lambda(\xi)}P - \lambda(\eta)\mu(\xi)P - \mu(\xi)L_{\lambda(\eta)}P \\ &= \lambda(\xi)\mu(\eta)P + \mu(\eta)\mu(\xi)P - \lambda(\eta)\mu(\xi)P - \mu(\xi)\mu(\eta)P. \end{aligned}$$

□

A class of Poisson cohomology

The first Poisson cohomology group $H_P^1(SU(2))$ is the quotient of the space of Poisson vector fields (i.e., the vector fields X such that $L_X P = 0$) by the space of Hamiltonian vector fields (i.e., vector field of the type $[P, f] = X_{-f}$).

Let $\mu^* : SU(2) \rightarrow su(2)$ the dual map of μ defined by

$$\forall \xi \in su^*(2), \quad \langle \mu^*(x), \xi \rangle = \mu(\xi)(x).$$

This allows us to define the vector field X_p associates to P by:

$$X_p(s) = R_{s*} \mu^*(s), \quad s \in SU(2).$$

We use the expression of μ given in the Theorem 1, we find

$$X_p = -2P_1 \tilde{X} - 2P_2 \tilde{Y} - 2P_3 \tilde{Z}.$$

We will be check that X_p does not be a Hamiltonian vector field, consequently, it defines a not trivial element of $H_P^1(SU(2))$.

Proposition 2. The vector field X_p defines a non trivial class $[X_p] \in H_P^1(SU(2))$.

Proof. In fact, for $X_p = -2P_1 \tilde{X} - 2P_2 \tilde{Y} - 2P_3 \tilde{Z}$, one gets

$$L_{X_p}P = -2L_{P_1 \tilde{X}}P - 2L_{P_2 \tilde{Y}}P - 2L_{P_3 \tilde{Z}}P.$$

We denote by \mathcal{X}_{P_i} the Hamiltonian field associated to P_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{P_1 \tilde{X}}P &= P_1 L_{\tilde{X}}P + \mathcal{X}_{P_1} \wedge \tilde{X} \\ L_{P_2 \tilde{Y}}P &= P_2 L_{\tilde{Y}}P + \mathcal{X}_{P_2} \wedge \tilde{Y} \\ L_{P_3 \tilde{Z}}P &= P_3 L_{\tilde{Z}}P + \mathcal{X}_{P_3} \wedge \tilde{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for every left invariant field \tilde{U} , $L_{\tilde{U}}P$ is a left invariant tensor, in particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{X}}P &= C_{23}^1 \tilde{Y} \wedge \tilde{Z} + C_{31}^1 \tilde{Z} \wedge \tilde{X} + C_{12}^1 \tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y} \\ L_{\tilde{Y}}P &= C_{23}^2 \tilde{Y} \wedge \tilde{Z} + C_{31}^2 \tilde{Z} \wedge \tilde{X} + C_{12}^2 \tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y} \\ L_{\tilde{Z}}P &= C_{23}^3 \tilde{Y} \wedge \tilde{Z} + C_{31}^3 \tilde{Z} \wedge \tilde{X} + C_{12}^3 \tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y}, \end{aligned}$$

with, $(C_{ij}^k)_{1 \leq i,j,k \leq 3}$ are the structure's constants of $su^*(2)$.
 Secondly, the $su^*(2)$ -module structure allows us to write

$$\mathcal{X}_{P_i} = (\alpha.P_i)\tilde{X} + (\beta.P_i)\tilde{Y} + (\delta.P_i)\tilde{Z}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

with (α, β, δ) is a base of $su^*(2)$.

A simple calculation allows us to conclude that $L_{X_P}P = 0$.

Now, we show that $[X_p]$ is not zero. Recall that for a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(SU(2))$, the Hamiltonian field associated to f is expressed by :

$$\mathcal{X}_f = U_1(f)\tilde{X} + U_2(f)\tilde{Y} + U_3(f)\tilde{Z}.$$

We consider the local coordinates $(u, v, w) = (y, z, \arctan \frac{t}{x})$ introduced in BGS , around the identity $e = (0, 0, 1)$, the equation $\mathcal{X}_f = X_P$ in this coordinates is expressed by

$$\begin{cases} u\partial_u f + v\partial_v f - \frac{P_2(u,v,w)}{P_1(u,v,w)} \frac{1}{1-u^2-v^2} \partial_w f = -2 \\ u\partial_u f + v\partial_v f + \frac{P_1(u,v,w)}{P_2(u,v,w)} \frac{1}{1-u^2-v^2} \partial_w f = -2 \\ u\partial_u f + v\partial_v f = \frac{2P_3(u,v,w)}{1-u^2-v^2}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that this system didn't solutions and then $[X_p] \neq 0$. □

A group 1-cocycle

Proposition 3. $\mu^* : SU(2) \rightarrow su(2)$ is a 1-cocycle of $SU(2)$ with values in $su(2)$ i.e.,

$$\mu^*(sr) = Ad_s \mu^*(r) + \mu^*(s), \quad s \text{ and } r \in SU(2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$\mu(a\alpha + b\beta + c\delta) = -2aP_1 - 2bP_2 - 2cP_3.$$

By definition of μ^* , we have

$$\mu^*(x) = -2P_1(x)X - 2P_2(x)Y - 2P_3(x)Z, \quad x \in SU(2).$$

Recall that, the structure of algebra $su(2)$ is given by

$$[Z, X] = 2Y ; [Z, Y] = -2X; [X, Y] = 2Z,$$

thus

$$\mu^*(x) = P_1(x)[Y, Z] + P_2(x)[Z, X] + P_3(x)[X, Y].$$

We get $x = \exp(t\xi)$, with $\xi \in su(2)$. Then, by derivative, we obtain

$$d_e \mu^*(\xi) = d_e P_1(\xi)[Y, Z] + d_e P_2(\xi)[Z, X] + d_e P_3(\xi)[X, Y].$$

If we denote by L , the bracket on $su(2)$, we conclude that $d_e \mu^* = -L \circ p$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that, for any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in su(2)$, we have

$$L(ad_{\xi_1}(\xi_2 \wedge \xi_3)) = ad_{\xi_1}(L(\xi_2 \wedge \xi_3)).$$

It's follows that $d_e \mu^*$ is a 1-cocycle of $su(2)$ with values in $su(2)$ i.e.,

$$d_e \mu^*([X, Y]) = ad_X d_e \mu^*(Y) - ad_Y d_e \mu^*(X).$$

since $SU(2)$ is connected and simply connected, the proof is complete. □

As $su(2)$ is semi-simple, by Whithead Lemma, we have

Corollary 1. There is a 1-cocycle $S \in su(2)$ such that

$$\mu^*(s) = S - Ad_s S, \quad s \in SU(2).$$

Indeed, we find

$$S = -2k.Z, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

REFERENCES

- ^{BGS} S. Benmouloud, B. Ganbouri, SM. Sbai, Structures de Lie-Poisson sur $SU(2)$ et $Sl(2, \mathbb{R})$, African Journal of Mathematical Physics. Vol 2, N1, 2005, 49-52.
- ^{LW} J. H. Lu, A. Weinstein, Poisson-Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions, J. Differential Geometry, (31), 1990, 501-526.
- ^O C. Ohn, Group de Lie-Poisson, U.L.B, Octobre 1989.
- ^V I. Vaisman, lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds. Birkhause, Bonton, 1994.